

Fax : 011-23748024
E-mail : eic@eicindia.gov.in
Web : www.eicindia.gov.in
ग्राम : निर्यातगुण
Grams : SHIPMENTQUALITY
दूरभाष : 23365540, 23341263, 23748189
Phone :



भारतीय निर्यात निरीक्षण परिषद्

(आई एस ओ 9001:2008 प्रमाणित संस्थान)
(वाणिज्य एवं उद्योग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
तीसरी मंजिल, एन.डी.वाई.एम.सी.ए. कल्चरल सेंटर बिल्डिंग)
1 जय सिंह रोड, नई दिल्ली-110 001

EXPORT INSPECTION COUNCIL OF INDIA

(An ISO 9001:2008 Certified Organisation)
(Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Govt. of India)
3rd Floor, NDYMCA Cultural Centre Building,
1 Jai Singh Road, New Delhi - 110001

सं.निनिप/डी (क्यू/सी) / 145 / CIC / 003681 / 2012 / 9392
No. EIC/D (Q/C)

दिनांक : 26 February 2013
Dated :

ORDER


Subject: Appeal made under Section 19 (1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 – compliance to directions issued by the CIC – reg.

Shri Praveen Sakhuja vide his letter dated 24.10.2012 has made an appeal to the Central Information Commission against the Export Inspection Agency – Delhi for not providing information requested by him vide his application dated 23.07.2012.

Shri Sakhuja, vide letter-dated 23.07.2012, had sought seven (07) information from CPIO, EIA – Delhi in respect of attestation of CoO (number of COOs received & issued during 01.07.2012 till 20.07.2012, name & designation of officers designated / deployed for attestation, number of CoOs allotted / issued by certain officers etc.). In response, the CPIO, EIA – Delhi vide his letter-dated 22.08.2012 had informed Shri Sakhuja that the information sought was voluminous and will result in diversion of meagre resources of the organisation [section 7(9) of the RTI Act].

Now in compliance to CIC order (case no. CIC/SS/A/2012/003681), I have gone through the case papers and observe (i) that Shri Sakhuja is habitual of filing applications in a large number on almost any matter including administrative / service matters, (ii) that Shri Sakhuja was not specific while seeking the information, (iii) that that Shri Sakhuja had asked similar information vide his applications dated 23.07.2012 & 27.07.2012, (iv) that primary responsibility of the organisation is to ensure efficient operations to generate revenue to pay for the salary of its employees and (v) that for an organisation (EIA – Delhi) operating with around 66% of the sanctioned strength, I have no reasons to disagree with the contention of CPIO, EIA – Delhi that searching for the information sought would result in diversion of its meagre resources.

Since there is no denial of information, the appeal filed by Shri Sakhuja stands disposed of. Shri Sakhuja is also advised not to misuse his right to information as a tool for harassment of the organisation.


(Rajiv Raizada)
Additional Director &
Appellate Authority

Shri Praveen Sakhuja,
LD -119, SFS Flats, Pitampura, Delhi – 110088.

Copy to:

1. Ms. Sushma Singh, Information Commissioner, Central Information Commission, Room No. 5, Club Building, Near Post Office, Old JNU Campus, New Delhi – 110067 this refers to the order passed in case no. CIC/SS/A/2012/003681 dated 29.01.2013 received in this office on 20.02.2013.
2. Shri Rajvinder Singh, CPIO, EIA – Delhi, New Delhi.

P.T.O