

Fax : 011-23748024
E-mail : eic@eicindia.gov.in
Web : www.eicindia.gov.in
ग्राम : निर्यातगुण
Grams : SHIPMENTQUALITY
दूरभाष : 23365540, 23341263, 23748189
Phone :



भारतीय निर्यात निरीक्षण परिषद्

(आई एस ओ 9001:2008 प्रमाणित संस्थान)
(वाणिज्य एवं उद्योग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
तीसरी मंजिल, एन.डी.वाई.एम.सी.ए. कल्चरल सेंटर बिल्डिंग)
1 जय सिंह रोड, नई दिल्ली-110 001

EXPORT INSPECTION COUNCIL OF INDIA

(An ISO 9001:2008 Certified Organisation)
(Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Govt. of India)
3rd Floor, NDYMCA Cultural Centre Building,
1 Jai Singh Road, New Delhi - 110001

सं.निनिप/डी (क्यू/सी)
No. EIC/D (Q/C) /145/CIC/003687/2012/ 9121

दिनांक :
Dated : 18 February 2013

ORDER


Subject: Appeal made under Section 19 (1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 – compliance to directions issued by the CIC – reg.

Shri Praveen Sakhuja vide his letter dated 24.10.2012 has made an appeal to the Central Information Commission against the Export Inspection Council for not providing complete information requested by him vide his application dated 07.08.2012.

Shri Sakhuja, vide letter-dated 07.08.2012, had sought four (04) information from CPIO, EIA – Delhi in respect of Earned Leave. While the first three pertained to procedure, the fourth sought details of employees who had applied for earned leave during January to June 2012 (name, designation, date of application, date of sanction, date when proceeded on leave etc). In response, the CPIO, EIA – Delhi vide his letter-dated 17.08.2012 had informed Shri Sakhuja to refer to the Leave Rules 1972 for the first three information and had denied the fourth information on the grounds that it will result in diversion of meagre resources of the organisation [section 7(9) of the RTI Act].

Now in compliance to CIC order (case no. CIC/SS/A/2012/003687), I have gone through the case papers and observe that information in respect of procedural aspect of earned leave has already been furnished to Shri Sakhuja by the CPIO, EIA – Delhi [precisely the Central Civil Services (Leave) Rules, 1972, as amended from time to time]. Further, for an organisation (EIA – Delhi) operating with around 66% of the sanctioned strength, I have no reasons to disagree with the contention of CPIO, EIA – Delhi that searching service books and personal files of all employees (around 100) in respect of the fourth information would result in diversion of its meagre resources.

Since there is no denial of information, the appeal filed by Shri Sakhuja stands disposed of. Shri Sakhuja is also advised to be specific while seeking information and not to misuse his right to information as a tool for harassment of the department.


(Rajiv Raizada)
Additional Director &
Appellate Authority

**Shri Praveen Sakhuja,
LD -119, SFS Flats, Pitampura, Delhi – 110088.**

Copy to:

1. Ms. Sushma Singh, Information Commissioner, Central Information Commission, Room No. 5, Club Building, Near Post Office, Old JNU Campus, New Delhi – 110067 this refers to the order passed in case no. CIC/SS/A/2012/003687 dated 29.01.2013 received in this office on 14.02.2013.
2. Shri Parmod Siwach, AD (T) & CPIO, EIC, New Delhi.
3. Shri Rajesh Ranjan, AD (T) – for uploading on the website
4. Hindi Cell – for translation.